

CLEVELAND, OH 44114

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,107	02/05/2001	Thomas F. Collura	73546/06356	3942
7590 12/30/2005			EXAMINER	
JAMES C. SCOTT, ESQ			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
ROETZEL & A	ANDRESS			
1375 E. 9TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE CLEVELAND CENTER, 10TH FLOOR			3736	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\wp$
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/777,107	COLLURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>l.</b> nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Or</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-24,27,29 and 30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-20,25,26 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is accomplished.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

4) Inter-

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,107 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 21 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: each of the following limitation lacks antecedent basis in the claim: Claim 21, line 10 "the first remote input node"; Claim 21, line 13 "the remote input means"; Claim 21, line 16 "the first-level input" and "the remote feedback output"; Claim 21, line 20 "the primary input node" and "the first-level feedback output"; and Claim 25, line 6 "the second remote feedback node" Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 25, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Line 14 of claim 25 states "the remote feedback node"; line 2 of claim 26 states "the remote node"; and line 7 of claim 28 states "the remote feedback node". It is unclear if these limitations are referring to the first or second remote feedback node. Line 3 of claim 28 states, "the data node". It is unclear which data node is being referred to. Line 9 of claim 28 states, "the second remote node". It is unclear which of the second remote nodes is being referred to.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/777,107

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 14 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0021283 to Rosenberg et al.

In regards to claims 14 – 20, Rosenberg et al. discloses an input means (616) for receiving data from a user; an output means (614) for communicating feedback to a user; a primary local loop having an input connected to the input means and an output connected to the output means; a secondary local loop having an input connected to the input of the primary local loop and an output connected to the output of the primary local loop; and a primary remote loop having an input connected to the input of the secondary local loop and an output connected to the secondary local loop output [0099]-[0101]; [0216]-[0218]. The input of the primary remote loop and the input of the secondary local loop are connected by the Internet; and the output of the primary remote loop and the output of the secondary local loop are connected by the Internet. A secondary remote loop has an input connected to the input of the primary remote loop and an output connected to the output of the primary remote loop [0099]-[0101]; [0216]-[0218]. Data is communicated at a remote output and input is received from the remote location [0217]. Rosenberg et al. discloses a local database and a remote database [0086].

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Rosenberg et al. is directed solely and exclusively to processing force data to provide tactile feedback. Applicant asserts that Rosenberg et al. fail to disclose a network-based biofeedback system. However, the Examiner disagrees. Rosenberg et al. discloses a network-based

Art Unit: 3736

biofeedback system (Figure 2) in that as a user provides an input such as moving a joystick, an output is produced in response to the input provided by the user [0098].

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMLF

ALI IMAM

THAT EXAMINER

TO SEE THE SE